

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 192084
ORIGIN IO-14

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 /027 R

66011

DRAFTED BY:IO/UNP:TNILES

APPROVED BY:IO/UNP:PSBRIDGES

-----012530 290323Z /70

P 290221Z JUL 78

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

INFO RUDKHLQ/AMEMBASSY HELSINKI PRIORITY 0000

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 192084

FOLLOWING REPEAT USUN 3033 ACTION SECSTATE INFO BONN DAR ES
SALAAM GABORONE KHARTOUM LAGOS LIBREVILL LONDON LUSAKA MAPUTO
OTTAWA PARIS PRETORIA DATED JUL 27:

QTE: C O N F I D E N T I A L USUN 303E3

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PORG, SF, WA

SUBJECT: NAMIBIA: SECURITY COUNCIL DEVELOPMENTS

REF: USUN 3023

1. SUMMARY: AFTER EXTENSIVE DISCUSSIONS BEHIND THE SCENES,
THE SECURITY COUNCIL FINALLY MET IN INFORMAL CONSULTATIONS
AT NOON JULY 27. THE COUNCIL AGREED TO INTRODUCE THE TWO
RESOLUTIONS WITH ONLY ONE AMENDMENT (THAT PROPOSED BY THE
AFRICANS JULY 26 REFTEL). THE COUNCIL AGREED THAT THE
VOTING ON BOTH RESOLUTIONS SHOULD PRECEDE THE DEBATE; AND
NO OBJECTION WAS RAISED TO PIK BOTHA'S ADDRESSING THE
COUNCIL. WE NOW MOVE TO A FORMAL COUNCIL SESSION AT
3:00 PM TODAY WITH THE RECOGNITION THAT SIGNIFICANT SUB-
STANTIVE DISCUSSION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FIVE'S
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 192084

PROPOSAL WILL ARISE IN THE SECOND STAGE OF SECURITY
COUNCIL CONSIDERATION OF NAMIBIA. WE HAVE HEARD NO MORE
FROM BOTHA. END SUMMARY.

2. THURSDAY, JULY 27, BEGAN WITH WORD OF A SOVIET AMEND-
MENT TO THE ENABLING RESOLUTION (ALREADY AMENDED ONCE BY
THE AFRICANS -- REFTEL) CALLING FOR THE INSERTION OF A

NEW PREAMBULAR PARAGRAPH AS FOLLOWS: "HAVING IN MIND THE PURPOSE OF ENSURING THE WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT DELAY OF ALL THE TROOPS AND ADMINISTRATION OF SOUTH AFRICA FROM NAMIBIA AND GRANTING TO ITS PEOPLE GENUINE INDEPENDENCE BASED ON THE UNITY AND TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY OF THE COUNTRY." IN A MORNING MEETING OF THE WESTERN FIVE, THE FOREIGN MINISTERS CONSIDERED THE AMENDMENT AND AGREED THAT IF THE AMENDMENT WAS BROUGHT TO A VOTE THEY WOULD ABSTAIN ON IT. THE FOREIGN MINISTERS BELIEVED THAT SOUTH AFRICA'S APPROACH TO THE SECURITY COUNCIL WAS ALREADY SO FRAGILE THAT AN ADDITIONAL CHANGE IN THE ENABLING RESOLUTION COULD PRECIPITATE A SOUTH AFRICAN OBJECTION. THEY THEREFORE FELT THAT EVERY EFFORT SHOULD BE MADE TO PREVENT THE ADOPTION OF THE SOVIET AMENDMENT OR ANY COMPROMISE WORDING.

3. AMB YOUNG THEN APPROACHED SOVIET AMB TROYANOVSKY TO DISCUSS THE AMENDMENT. YOUNG EXPLAINED THE DIFFICULTIES WHICH AN AMENDMENT WOULD CAUSE US. TROYANOVSKY REPLIED THAT THE USSR WISHED TO MAKE KNOWN THEIR RESERVATIONS WITH THE FIVE'S PROPOSAL BUT AGREED THAT THEY COULD WITHDRAW THE AMENDMENT AND RAISE THEIR CONCERNS WHEN THE COUNCIL CONSIDERS THE SECRETARY GENERAL'S REPORT IN TWO WEEKS. (IT HAD ALREADY BECOME CLEAR THAT THE AFRICANS WOULD RAISE THEIR OWN RESERVATIONS AT THAT TIME.) IT WAS THUS AGREED THAT TROYANOVSKY WOULD PROPOSE HIS AMENDMENT IN CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 192084

THE INFORMAL SECURITY COUNCIL CONSULTATIONS AND THEN WITHDRAW IT.

4. THE INFORMAL SECURITY COUNCIL CONSULTATION (SCHEDULED FOR 10:30 AM) HAD BEEN POSTPONED SO THAT BEHIND-THE-SCENES DELIBERATIONS OVER THE SOVIET AMENDMENT AND OTHER PROCEDURAL QUESTIONS COULD TAKE PLACE. AT NOON WITH THE DELIBERATIONS APPARENTLY SUCCESSFUL, THE CONSULTATION BEGAN. THE SOVIETS PUT FORTH THEIR AMENDMENT, AFTER EXPRESSING ALONG WITH THE CZECHS THEIR RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSAL. AMB YOUNG PROMPTLY MADE KNOWN THE UNITED STATES' RELUCTANCE TO CONSIDER ANY FURTHER AMENDMENTS AT THIS TIME ADDING THAT THE SECOND STAGE OF THE COUNCIL'S CONSIDERATION OF NAMIBIA WOULD BE THE MORE APPROPRIATE TIME TO CONSIDER THE SOVIET'S CONCERNS. THE BRITISH CONCURRED, NOTING THAT THEY WERE OF COURSE NOT PREPARED TO COMMIT THEMSELVES IN ADVANCE TO THE INCLUSION OF THE SOVIET LANGUAGE IN ANY LATER DOCUMENT OF THE COUNCIL. WITH THE OTHERS OF THE FIVE EXPRESSING SIMILAR VIEWS, AMB TROYANOVSKY WITHDREW HIS AMENDMENT AFTER EMPHASIZING HIS GOVERNMENT'S POSITION THAT THE SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE'S MANDATE SHOULD INCLUDE THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS DEALT WITH

IN THE SOVIET AMENDMENT.

5. WITH THE WITHDRAWAL OF THE AMENDMENT, THE COUNCIL
AGREED TO THE FOLLOWING:

- (A) THAT THE TWO RESOLUTIONS (THE ENABLING RESOLUTION AND THE ONE ON WALVIS BAY) SHOULD BE FORMALLY INTRODUCED WITH THE ADDITION OF THE ONE AMENDMENT PROPOSED JULY 26 BY THE AFRICAN (REFTEL). AMB RAMPHUL (MAURITIUS) STATED THAT THE AFRICA, AGREEMENT WAS "RATHER RELUCTANT" AND ADDED: "WE ARE NOT HAPPY REGARDING THE WALVIS BAY RESOLUTION BUT IT APPEARS THAT THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT MADE IN LUANDA, AND WE ARE GOING TO RESPECT THAT AGREEMENT."

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 192084

- (B) THAT THE VOTING ON BOTH RESOLUTIONS SHOULD PRECEDE THE DEBATE AND THAT THE ENABLING RESOLUTION SHOULD BE VOTED ON FIRST; AND

- (C) THAT THE COUNCIL SHOULD MEET IN FORMAL SESSION AT 3:00 PM THAT SAME DAY, (JULY 27).

IN ADDITION, NO OBJECTION WAS MADE TO THE DESIRE OF SOUTH AFRICAN FOREIGN MINISTER BOTHA TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL.

6. BEFORE THE CONSULTATION CONCLUDED, SECRETARY GENERAL WALDHEIM SPOKE. HE MADE KNOWN HIS INTENTION, IF ALL WENT WELL IN FORMAL COUNCIL MEETING:

- (A) TO APPOINT UN COMMISSIONER FOR NAMIBIA AHTISAARI AS SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE;

- (B) TO HAVE AHTISAARI LEAD A SURVEY MISSION TO NAMIBIA AS SOON AS POSSIBLE; AND

- (C) TO INCLUDE IN HIS (WALDHEIM'S) SUBSEQUENT REPORT TO THE COUNCIL SUGGESTIONS ON THE COMPOSITION OF THE UN PRESENCE AND ITS TASK.

7. COMMENT: WE NOW PROCEED TO THE FORMAL MEETING OF THE COUNCIL WITH THE RECOGNITION THAT SIGNIFICANT SUBSTANTIVE CONSIDERATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF OUR PROPOSAL WILL ARISE WHEN THE COUNCIL MEETS AGAIN SOME TWO WEEKS FROM NOW. WE HAVE HEARD NO MORE FROM BOTHA. AMB MCHENRY SPOKE WITH SOUTH AFRICAN CHARGE EKSTEEN THIS MORNING (JULY 27) ON ANOTHER MATTER BUT EKSTEEN MADE NO SUBSTANTIVE COMMENT ON NAMIBIA. THE FOREIGN MINISTERS HAD UNDERSTOOD THAT BOTHA WOULD INFORM THEM EARLY THAT MORNING IF HIS INSTRUCTIONS
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 192084

REQUIRED HIS DEPARTURE; SO FAR THAT HAS NOT OCCURRED.
END COMMENT. YOUNG UNQTE VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: DEBATES, POLITICAL SETTLEMENT, UNSC RESOLUTIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 29 jul 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE192084
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: IO/UNP:TNILES
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780311-0300
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t1978075/aaaaaehz.tel
Line Count: 177
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 88e2f071-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN IO
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 78 USUN NEW YORK 3023
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 27 apr 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 1978592
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: NAMIBIA: SECURITY COUNCIL DEVELOPMENTS
TAGS: PORG, PDEV, SF, WA, UNSC
To: HELSINKI STOCKHOLM
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/88e2f071-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014